Application Serial No.: 10/665,699

Docket No.: SOM920030006US1

Response to Office Action Dated: May 27, 2009 Response Dated: September 21, 2009

II. REMARKS

Applicant offers the following remarks in response to the Office Action dated May 27,

2009.

A. STATUS SUMMARY

Claims 1-36 are cancelled without prejudice. Claims 14 and 27 were previously

cancelled without prejudice. New claims 37-72 have been added. Accordingly, claims 37-72 are

pending.

In this Amendment, Applicant has cancelled claims 1-13, 15-26 and 28-36 from further

consideration in this application. Applicant is not conceding that the subject matter encompassed

by claims 1-13, 15-26, and 28-36 prior to this Amendment is not patentable over the art cited by

the Examiner. Claims 1-13, 15-26 and 28-36 were cancelled in this Amendment solely to

facilitate expeditious prosecution of the present application. Applicant respectfully reserves the

right to pursue claims, including the subject matter encompassed by claims 1-36 as presented

prior to this Amendment and additional claims in one or more continuing applications.

B. CLAIM REJECTIONS – 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-5, 9-13, 16-26, 28-34, and 36 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

allegedly unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0023679 to Johnson et

al. (hereinafter "Johnson"), in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0089551 to

Hugh et al. (hereinafter "Hugh") and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,611,835 to Huang et al.

(hereinafter "Huang"). Claims 6-8 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

allegedly unpatentable over Johnson, in view of Hugh, in view of Huang, and further in view of

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0097410 to Atkins et al. (hereinafter "Atkins").

21

Application Serial No.: 10/665,699

Docket No.: SOM920030006US1

Response to Office Action Dated: May 27, 2009

Response Dated: September 21, 2009

Claim 35 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over U.S. Patent

Application Publication No. 2002/0156693 to Stewart et al. (hereinafter "Stewart"), in view of

Johnson, and further in view of Huang. Applicant respectfully traverses. Claims 1-13, 15-26

and 28-36 have been cancelled without prejudice.

C. NEW CLAIMS

Claims 37-72 have been added, and are believed to be fully supported by the application

as originally filed. Support for the new claims may be found in the Specification, drawings and

claims as originally filed.

For example, support for claims 37, 49, and 61 may be found in FIG. 5 and FIG. 6, and in

the Specification at paragraphs 0041, 0077, 0084-0087 (including the script file at the end of

paragraph 0087), 0111, 0108 and 0118. Support for claims 38, 50, and 62, may be found in the

Specification at paragraphs 0127 - 0131. Support for claims 39, 45, 47, 51, 57, 59, 63, 69, and

71 may be found in FIG. 5 and in the Specification at paragraphs 0084-0087 (including the script

file at the end of paragraph 0087). Support for claims 40, 46, 48, 52, 58, 60, 64, 70, and 72 may

be found in FIG. 5 and in the Specification at paragraphs 0019, 0060, 0068, 0086-0087

(including the script file at the end of paragraph 0087), 0091, and 0098. Support for claims 41,

53, and 65, may be found in FIG. 6 and in the Specification at paragraphs 0020, 0112, 0114,

0118, and 0133. Support for claims 42, 43, 44, 54, 55, 56, 66, 67, and 68 may be found in FIG. 6

and in the Specification at paragraphs 0133-0136.

Accordingly, no new matter is believed to have been added. Applicant respectfully

submits that new claims 37-72 are patentable over all references of record. Therefore, Applicant

respectfully requests that new claims 37-72 be entered and allowed.

22

Application Serial No.: 10/665,699

Docket No.: SOM920030006US1 Response to Office Action Dated: May 27, 2009

Response Dated: September 21, 2009

III. CONCLUSION

In light of the above Amendments and Remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the

present application is now in proper condition for allowance, and an early notice to such effect is

earnestly solicited.

If any small matter should remain outstanding after the Patent Examiner has had an

opportunity to review the above Remarks, the Patent Examiner is respectfully requested to

telephone the undersigned patent attorney in order to resolve these matters and avoid the

issuance of another Office Action.

Although it is believed that the appropriate fees are submitted with this transmittal, the

Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or

credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 09-0461.

Respectfully submitted,

Lee Law, PLLC

Date: September 21, 2009

By: /Martin J. McKinley/

Martin J. McKinley, Reg. No. 31782

Lee Law, PLLC

P.O. Box 189

Pittsboro, NC 27312

Phone: (919) 542-1956

Fax: (919) 542-5125

mmckinley@leelawpllc.com

Customer No. 75532

23